

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/552,948	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner CONNIE C. YOHA	Art Unit 2827	

All Participants:

(1) CONNIE C. YOHA.

(2) Michael Cleveland (reg. no. 46,030).

Date of Interview: 6/25/08

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
20 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Connie C. Yoha/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2827

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 20 and 30 of which was previously allowed were subject to further reviewed. After further discussion, applicant had agreed to amend these claims and their dependents to bring the claimed subject matter closer to applicant's invention. Examiner amendment was made by the examiner to amend these claims..